ELIZABETH EGAN
DISTRICT ATTORNEY
LISA M. GAMOIAN
CHIEF DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY
2220 TULARE STREET, SUITE 1000
FRESNO, CALIFORNIA 93721
Telephone: (209) 488-3141

SUPERIOR COUNTY 04 SEP - 7 PM 1: 35

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF FRESNO

9

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

12

13

11

14

15

1617

18

19

2021

22

23

2526

27

28

Plaintiff,

vs.

MARCUS WESSON

) Court Case No. F04901785-6

) DA Case No.: 04H9621

PEOPLE'S RESPONSE TO PETITION FOR RETURN OF

PROPERTY BY ELIZABETH WESSON

DATE: September 13, 2004

TIME: 9:00 a.m. DEPT.: 53

TO: THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF THE ABOVE-ENTITLED COURT, AND TO THE DEFENDANT AND HIS ATTORNEY OF RECORD HEREIN:

On August 12, 2004 the Court received a "Petition and Order for Return of Property" filed by Elizabeth Wesson (hereinafter referred to as petitioner). Petitioner is seeking the return of (1) "my children's clothing"; (2) "my passport"; and (3) "back statements & receipts for property." Petitioner also declares that the aforementioned property was (1) seized without a warrant; (2) that it is not considered contraband; and (3) that it has not been accepted by the court as evidence in any case.

All property or things taken on a warrant must be retained by the officer in his custody, subject to the order of the court to which he is required to return the proceedings before him, or of any other court in which the offense in respect to which the property or things taken is triable. (Pen. Code section 1536 (2004).)

In order to have a res upon which a motion for return of property under Penal Code sections 1536 can operate, the property must have been seized pursuant to the order of the court or have been marked as an exhibit. (People v Icenogle (1985) 164 Cal.App.3d 620; and see Coy v. County of Los Angeles (1991) 235 Cal.app.3d 1077, 1089.) A defendant is not entitled to the return of items usable as evidence against the defendant. (People v. DeRenzy (1969) 275 Cal.App.2d 380, 387; as cited by Ensoniq v. Superior Court (1998) 65 Cal.app.4th 1537, 1547.)

An officer who seizes property under a search warrant does so on behalf of the court for use in a judicial proceeding.

(People v. Icenogle (1985) 164 Cal. App. 3d 620, 623.) "Section 1536 was enacted in order to provide controls over those officials in possession of property seized pursuant to a search warrant . . ." (People v. Von Villas (1992) 10 Cal. App. 4th 201, 239.)

Other than the petitioner's request for "my passport" the petition lacks specificity as to particular items and no information as to the location that the items were taken from. For purposes of this motion the People can only assume that petitioner is referring to items seized from 761 W. Hammond, Fresno, California.

Contrary to petitioner's assertion, petitioner's passport and other items matching the generic descriptions set forth in

the petition were seized pursuant to a search warrant. (See search warrants W-4912037-9 (1) dated March 12, 2004 signed by the Hon. Judge R.L. Putnam at 8:16 p.m.; (2) March 14, 2004 signed by the Hon. Judge R.L. Putnam at 1:20 p.m. and (3) March 15, 2004 signed by the Hon. Bruce Smith at 5:15 p.m. and their subsequent returns.) On March 30, 2004 the this court ordered the warrants and returns sealed, therefore the above documents are not attached.

The items sought by petitioner were seized pursuant to a search warrant and at this time are considered potential evidence in the People's case against the defendant Marcus Wesson. As such the People oppose release of these items pending the final resolution of the case.

Lisa M. Gamoian

Chief Deputy District Attorney

Dated: <u>9-7-</u>, 2004

26

27

28

PROOF OF SERVICE IN PERSON - 1013a, 2015.5 C.C.P. 2 I, THE UNDERSIGNED, DECLARE AND SAY; 3 I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of 4 the County of Fresno, State of California; I am over the age of 5 eighteen (18) years, residing or employed in the County of 6 Fresno, and not a party to the within action; my business 7 address is Fresno County District Attorney's Office, 2220 Tulare 8 Street, Suite 1000, Fresno, California, 93721. 9 On September 7, 2004, I personally served the within 10 copy of the "People's Response to Petition for Return of 11 Property by Elizabeth Wesson", in RE: Marcus Delon Wesson, 12 Fresno County Court Case No. F04901785-6 to: Elizabeth Wesson, 13 761 W. Hammond Street, Fresno, CA, 93728. 14 I declare, under penalty of perjury, under the laws of 15 16 the State of California, that the foregoing is true and correct 17 and that this declaration was executed this 7th day of 18 September, 2004, at Fresno, California. 19 20 Scot Cheney, Senior Investigator Fresno County District Attorney's Office 21 Homicide Unit Maparacore on front Securer Door 22 Received By: w, i-lommono. ATTACITE CARD WI ENSTITUCE IND 8 23 CALL WITH MECETURE. Sco- D. Citoro 24 ELIZABETH WESSON Date 25 26

1

27

28